

News Release

Notice of the Opinion of the Board of Directors regarding a Shareholder Proposal

Osaka, Japan, May 15, 2020, --- On April 27, 2020, Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited (TSE:4502/NYSE:TAK) ("the Company") received a shareholder proposal from a shareholder of the Company to be presented at the 144th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders to be held on June 24, 2020. At the meeting of the Board of Directors of the Company, it was resolved to oppose the proposal.

- Proposing shareholder
 Proposed by 11 shareholders, the total number of whose voting rights is 20,907 (0.13% of the total number of voting rights).
- 2. Details and rationale for the shareholder proposal
 - (1) Agenda of shareholder proposal

 Election of one director who is a member of the Audit and Supervisory Committee.
 - (2) Summary and rationale for the shareholder proposal

 As described in the attachment. The relevant information in the shareholder proposal submitted by
 the proposing shareholder is presented in its original form.
- 3. Opinion of the Board of Directors on the shareholder proposal The Board of Directors is opposed to this proposal.

The Company has established the Nomination Committee (all members of which are Independent External Directors) as a voluntary advisory body for the Board of Directors to ensure objectivity and fairness concerning the election of Directors. The Nomination Committee, at the request of the Board of Directors, deliberated the contents of the proposal for the election of four directors as members of the Audit and Supervisory Committee ("ASC") proposed by the Company for the 144th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders and determined that the proposal was appropriate. In light of the Nomination Committee's determination, the Board of Directors (the majority of which are Independent External Directors) once again deliberated on the Company proposal for the election of four directors as members of the Audit and Supervisory Committee and approved the proposal submission.

The four candidates for Directors who are ASC members in the Company's proposal consist of one Internal Director candidate and three Independent External Director candidates, hence the majority of the ASC members are Independent External Directors. The ASC's function of auditing and supervising business execution will be appropriately performed by fair and proper cooperation between the Internal Director who is familiar with the pharmaceutical industry and the internal workings of the company, along with the Independent External Directors who perform their duties independently. Therefore, we believe that the number of the ASC members and the composition of the ASC is appropriate. In addition, the Company has set "criteria for the independence of External Directors of the Company" and we believe that the three Independent External Director candidates are the optimal composition of directors with well-balanced aptitudes and qualifications, which are desirable for shareholders, such as "high expertise in finance and accounting," "knowledge in global business," "substantial experience in the pharmaceutical industry," and "sufficient knowledge in corporate governance with board experience of a publicly traded company."

In the "Reasons for the shareholder proposal," the repayment of financial debt is pointed out as a management issue. In addition, "Roles and Responsibilities of Independent Directors" in Principle 4-7 of the Corporate Governance Code was cited, with the suggestion that the election of the candidate for a director who is an ASC member pertaining to the shareholders' proposal as an External Director should promote the repayment of financial debt. However, we placed the reduction of the financial debt as a management objective from the time of the Shire acquisition and are currently working to reduce the financial debt as planned. Also, regarding the improvement of management policy and management, which is a matter to be deliberated by the Board of Directors, not by ASC, the Independent External Directors, who account for the majority of our Board of Directors, actively advise on the promotion of the Company's sustained growth and the improvement of the Company's mid- and long-term corporate value based on their respective insights. Thus, our Independent External Directors have wholly fulfilled the roles and responsibilities required by Principle 4-7 of the Corporate Governance Code. Moreover, we believe that the three External Director candidates proposed by the Company can also continue to thoroughly fulfill those roles and responsibilities.

Considering the election process of External Director candidates, the appropriate composition of the ASC, as well as the fulfillment of the roles and responsibilities of our Independent External Directors, we believe that the approval of the four candidates proposed by the Company is most desirable to continue to build a highly independent and transparent, as well as effective corporate governance system.

Furthermore, in consideration of the fact that the shareholders' proposal is a proposal to elect a Director who is an ASC member, the Board of Directors consulted with the Nomination Committee in order to ensure the objectivity and fairness of its review of the shareholders' proposal. As for the candidate for a director who is an ASC member pertaining to the shareholders' proposal, the Nomination Committee reviewed and deliberated on the candidate from the standpoint of achievements and expertise, as well as

the expected role within the entire structure of our Board of Directors, and submitted reports to the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors also carefully and thoroughly deliberated on the candidate based on the reports. However, the Board did not recognize the reasons for the election of the candidate proposed by the shareholders that equal or exceed those of the candidates proposed by our Board of Directors.

In view of the above, the Board of Directors objects to this Proposal because we believe that the election of the four candidates proposed by the Company will be in the interest of our shareholders from the standpoint of building a highly independent and transparent, as well as effective, corporate governance system, and there is no need to elect the candidate for a director who is an ASC member pertaining to the shareholders' proposal as an External Director.

Please refer to the press release "Takeda Announces Candidates for New Directors" announced today with respect to the candidates for directors proposed by the Company.

###

Summary and rationale for the shareholder proposal

The relevant information in the shareholder proposal submitted by the proposing shareholder is presented in its original form.

(1) Summary of this Motion

Election of Mr. Takeshi ITO as a Director who is an Audit and Supervisory Committee Member

(2) Rationale

The business results for fiscal year 2018, which have been announced, show that the ROE of the Company is 3%, which is significantly lower than that of major Japanese pharmaceutical peers (approximately 8% for Daiichi Sankyo, approximately 17% for Astellas, and approximately 10% for Eisai). In addition, the total shareholder return (TSR) of the Company over the five years of Mr. Weber's tenure as President, which is the sum of share price changes and dividends, is -27% (annual average is approximately -5%), significantly lower than that of Japanese pharmaceutical peers (318% for Daiichi Sankyo, -2% for Astellas, and 2% for Eisai). In addition, repayment of financial debt, which increased to approximately 5 trillion JPY following the acquisition of Shire, is also an urgent management issue.

It is essential for the Company to elect an External Director capable of giving advice from the perspective of encouraging sustainable growth and improving its medium-to long-term corporate value of the Company in line with the Corporate Governance Code (Principle 4-7).

From the perspective above, electing Mr. Takeshi ITO, having worked for many years in the securities industry which evaluates corporate performance from the perspective of investors as well as having abundant international experience, as an External Director can be expected to make a significant contribution to resolving the aforementioned issues.

Background of the candidate

Candidate No.1: Takeshi ITO Born on August 19, 1943

Number of Company Shares Owned: 800 shares

<Profile, Position, Responsibility and Important Duties Concurrently Held>

| | • |
|---------------------|---|
| From September 1969 | Vice President and Analyst, International Investigation, New York |
| to July 1974 | Headquarters, Drexel Burnham |
| | |
| From July 1974 | Head of Tokyo Office, Drexel Burnham |
| to October 1982 | |

| From October 1982 | Head of Tokyo Office and First Vice President, International |
|---------------------|---|
| to October 1983 | Investigation, New York Headquarters, Drexel Burnham Lambert |
| From October 1983 | Vice President, Stock and Wholesale Banking, New York |
| to September 1986 | Headquarters, First Boston Corporation (currently known as Credit Suisse) |
| From September 1986 | Director, Investment Banking, First Boston Corporation (currently |
| to October 1993 | known as Credit Suisse) |
| From October 1993 | Managing Director and Vice President, Stock Investigation, Tokyo |
| to March 1996 | Branch, Smith Barney Securities; |
| | Director, Smith Barney Investment Advisory |
| From March 1996 | Managing Director and Vice President, Investment Banking, Tokyo |
| to March 1997 | Branch, Smith Barney Securities |
| From March 1997 | Senior Managing Director, Investment Banking, Tokyo Branch, |
| to June 1998 | Salomon Smith Barney Securities |
| From June 1998 | President and Representative Director, UBS Asset Management |
| to February 2000 | |
| From March 2000 | Founding Partner, Japan Venture Partners LLC |
| to December 2009 | |
| From January 2010 | Executive Advisor, Japan Wealth Management Securities Co., Ltd. |
| to February 2012 | (JWM) |
| From February 2012 | Senior Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer (COO), Aozora |
| to June 2013 | Securities Co., Ltd. (after acquisition of JWM) |
| From June 2013 | Advisor, Aozora Securities Co.,Ltd. |
| to June 2018 | |
| From June 2014 | Director, Azbil Corporation |
| to present | |
| From May 8, 2018 | Representative Director, LOGOS Capital Partners Inc. |
| to present | |

<Important Positions Held Concurrently>

Director, Azbil Corporation

Representative Director, LOGOS Capital Partners Inc.

<Reason for Election as Director>

The business results for fiscal year 2018, which have been announced, show that the ROE of the Company is 3%, which is significantly lower than that of major Japanese pharmaceutical peers (approximately 8% for Daiichi Sankyo, approximately 17% for Astellas, and approximately 10% for Eisai). In addition, the total shareholder return (TSR) of the Company over the five years of Mr. Weber's tenure as President, which is the sum of share price changes and dividends, is -27% (annual average is approximately -5%), significantly lower than that of Japanese pharmaceutical peers (318% for Daiichi Sankyo, -2% for Astellas, and 2% for Eisai). In addition, repayment of financial debt, which increased to approximately 5 trillion JPY following the acquisition of Shire, is also an urgent management issue.

It is essential for the Company to elect an External Director capable of giving advice from the perspective of encouraging sustainable growth and improving its medium-to long-term corporate value of the Company in line with the Corporate Governance Code (Principle 4-7).

From the perspective above, electing Mr. Takeshi ITO, having worked for many years in the securities industry which evaluates corporate performance from the perspective of investors as well as having abundant international experience, as an External Director can be expected to make a significant contribution to resolving the aforementioned issues.

(Description on special interest) No special interests exist between the above candidates and the Company.